WALL STREET VIEW

WSV

Worldwide Pharmaceutical Revenue

Table 1

Top performers 2002

Company (in order of rank)

- 1. Pfizer
- 2. Johnson & Johnson
- 3. GlaxoSmithKline
- 4. Forest Laboratories
- 5. Eli Lilly
- 6. Merck
- 7. Wyeth
- 8. AstraZeneca
- 9. Pharmacia
- 10.Schering-Plough
- 11.Genentech
- 12. Abbott Laboratories
- 13.Biogen
- 14.Amgen
- 15.Aventis
- 16.Novartis
- 17.Bristol-Myers Squibb

Ranking was determined by a point system. Details can be found in *Pharmaceutical Executive*, September 2003; p. 62.

Source: Pharmaceutical Executive, September 2003; p. 39.

Table 2

Fortune's most admired and respected

Company (in order of rank)

- 1. Johnson & Johnson
- 2. Pfizer
- 3. Merck
- 4. Eli Lilly
- 5. Amgen
- 6. Wyeth
- 7. Pharmacia
- 8. Abbott Laboratories
- 9. Bristol-Myers Squibb
- 10.Schering-Plough

With a reputation for high prices, lawsuits to postpone generic encroachment on its expired patents, and marketing scandals, the drug industry has managed to do the unthinkable: It has made even the despised managed care industry look good. Therefore, to see a pharma company place in the top 10 of *Fortune's* most admired and respected companies is worthy of note—that company is Johnson & Johnson. It comes in sixth of all the *Fortune* 1,000 companies.

Source: "America's Most Admired and Respected Corporations.," Fortune, March 3, 2003.

Source: Pharmaceutical Executive, September 2003; p. 39.

Table 3

Top performers in sales, 2002

2002 rank	Company	Sales revenue 2002 (\$ billions US)	Sales revenue 2001 (\$ billions US)	
1	Merck	51.8	47.7	
2	Johnson & Johnson	36.3	33.0	
3	Pfizer	32.4	25.5	
4	GlaxoSmithKline	31.8	24.8	
5	Novartis	23.2	19.1	
6	Bristol-Myers Squibb	18.1	15.6	
7	AstraZeneca	17.8	16.5	
8	Abbott Laboratories	17.7	16.3	
9	Aventis	17.6	15.4	
10	Wyeth	14.6	11.7	
11	Pharmacia	14.0	12.0	
12	Eli Lilly	11.1	11.5	
13	Schering-Plough	10.2	8.4	
14	Amgen	5.5	3.5	
15	Genentech	2.2	1.7	
16	Forest Laboratories	1.6	1.2	
17	Biogen	1.0	1.0	
Average		18.1	15.6	
Source: Company 10Ks and 20-Fs and Yahoo Finance.com				

With \$51.8 billion, Merck won the sales revenue competition hands down, although without Medco, the company would have earned only \$21.4 billion, putting it in fifth place.

All 17 companies increased their sales revenue over 2001, except for Lilly, whose revenue fall-off was caused by Prozac® going off patent.

Source: Pharmaceutical Executive, September 2003; p. 40.

Table 4		
Top spenders in	research and	development

2002 ank	Company	2002 amount (\$ billions US)	2001 amount (\$ billions US)
1	Pfizer	5.2	4.8
2	Novartis	4.3	2.2
3	GlaxoSmithKline	4.1	3.8
4	Johnson & Johnson	4.0	1.1
5	Aventis	3.4	3.0
6	AstraZeneca	3.1	2.7
7	Merck	2.7	2.4
8	Pharmacia	2.4	2.1
9	Bristol-Myers Squibb	2.2	1.9
10	Eli Lilly	2.1	2.2
11	Wyeth	2.1	1.8
12	Abbott Laboratories	1.6	1.6
13	Schering-Plough	1.4	1.3
14	Amgen	1.1	0.9
15	Genentech	0.6	0.5
16	Biogen	0.4	0.3
17	Forest Laboratories	0.2	0.1
		2.4	2.0

Outlay for research and development increases across the board, reflecting the need to replenish flagging pipelines and the increased difficulty of finding innovative new products.

Source: Pharmaceutical Executive, September 2003; p. 42.

Table 5

Top 10 companies for new products revenue

2002 rank	Company	2002 (in %)	2001 (in %)	
1	Forest Laboratories	70.0	61.0	The percentage of revenue
2	Genentech	68.0	69.0	coming from products not of
3	Eli Lilly	60.0	28.6	the market five years ago is
4	Pharmacia	56.0	33.0	significant metric. It's good have a promising pipeline, to
5	Schering-Plough	38.0	27.5	what gets commercialized i
6	GlaxoSmithKline	27.0	28.6	even more important. In 200
7	AstraZeneca	22.5	16.4	Forest ranked first, with 709 of its revenue coming from
8	Pfizer	22.0	16.0	products that were not on t
9	Aventis	17.8	14.9	market until 1998. Genente
10	Novartis	17.8	13.8	held strong, and Eli Lilly car
11	Amgen	16.0	NA	in third, with a hefty increas over last year.
12	Wyeth	11.0	18.8	over last year.
13	Bristol-Myers Squibb	10.5	25.0	Only five companies
14	Johnson & Johnson	10.5	15.4	exceeded the average of
15	Abbott Laboratories	9.6	24.0	27.4%: Forest, Genentech, Lilly, Pharmacia, and
16	Merck	9.3	22.0	Schering-Plough.
17	Biogen	0.0	NA	
Average		27.4	27.6	
NA: Not ava	ailable		Source: C	ompany 10Ks and 20-Fs and Yahoo Finance.com

The percentage of revenue coming from products not on the market five years ago is a significant metric. It's good to have a promising pipeline, but what gets commercialized is even more important. In 2002, Forest ranked first, with 70% of its revenue coming from products that were not on the market until 1998. Genentech held strong, and Eli Lilly came in third, with a hefty increase over last year.

Only five companies exceeded the average of 27.4%: Forest, Genentech, Eli Lilly, Pharmacia, and Schering-Plough.

Source: Pharmaceutical Executive, September 2003; p. 48.





ELSEVIER CANADA

Announcing the Canadian office of the world's largest medical book and journal publisher

YOUR SOURCE FOR MEDICAL TEXTBOOKS & JOURNAL REPRINTS

Let us find the right book for you

Erinn Ramsay, MBA Sr. Business Development Manager Excerpta Medica 416-253-3649 e.ramsay@elsevier.com

Angela Smith, BSc Sales Representative Excerpta Medica 416-253-3666 an.smith@elsevier.com

* Excerpta Medica. The pharmaceutical and special market sales division of Elsevier Canada *



